

Drawing Amendment:

Applicants respectfully request the amendment of Figures 1 - 6 to include the designation of "Prior Art" as required by MPEP §608.02(g) because only that which is old is illustrated. Attached are the drawings at issue with changes in red ink and replacement sheets with changes in black and white.

REMARKS

Applicants thank Examiner for acknowledging receipt of foreign priority document, Japanese Application No. JP2002-017620, that has been submitted pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 119 and/or PCT Rule 17.2(a).

Applicants have amended the attached drawings for Figures 1 - 8 to include the designation of "Prior Art" as required by MPEP §608.02(g).

Claims 16 and 17 have been added in order to claim further subject matter as disclosed in the specification. More specifically, claim 16 is directed to the subject matter disclosed in Figure 18 and its corresponding written description, and claim 17 is directed to the subject matter disclosed in Figure 19 and its corresponding written description.

Without conceding the propriety of the Examiner's position, and solely to expedite prosecution, claims 4, 10, and 15 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

In addition, claims 5 and 7 have been amended in order to obviate Examiner's objections in the last Office Action.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of Examiner's rejection of claims 1 - 3 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e). Examiner has rejected these claims in view of the cited prior art reference of Kubota et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,183,669). However, Applicants submit that claims 1 - 3 are allowable over the cited prior art reference for at least the reason that Kubota fails to teach or suggest an organic substrate and organic insulative layer formed from among liquid crystal polymer,

benzocyclobutene, polyimide, polynorbornen, polyphenylether, polytetrafluoroethylene, bismaleimide-triazine, or any one of these organic materials also having a ceramic powder dispersed therein. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request Examiner withdraw the rejection and place these claims in condition for allowance.

In regard to Examiner's rejection of claims 5 - 6, 8 - 9, 11 - 12, and 14 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Geller (U.S. Patent No. 5,929,510),

Applicant's submit that for at least the reason that Geller fails to teach or suggest an organic substrate and organic insulative layer formed from among liquid crystal polymer, benzocyclobutene, polyimide, polynorbornen, polyphenylether, polytetrafluoroethylene, bismaleimide-triazine, or any one of these organic materials also having a ceramic powder dispersed therein, these claims are also in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request Examiner withdraw the rejection and place these claims in condition for allowance.

In regard to new claims 16 and 17, Applicants submit that neither reference teaches the use of ground planes in order to shield wiring layers from the woven glass organic substrates. Accordingly, Applicants submit that these claims are also in condition for allowance.

Examiner's remaining references cited but not relied upon, considered either alone or in combination, also fail to teach applicant's currently claimed invention.

In light of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that all claims now stand in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Lewis T. Steadman
Lewis T. Steadman
**TREXLER, BUSHNELL, GIANGIORGI,
BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD.**
105 W. Adams Street, 36th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60603
Tel: (312) 704-1890
Attorney for Applicants

HIGH-FREQUENCY MODULE, AND METHOD OF PRODUCING SAME

Attorney Docket No. : 075534.00270

Robert J. Depka, Holland & Knight LLP, (312) 285-5800

1/13

Prior Art




FIG. 1A




FIG. 1B

Annotated Sheet

HIGH-FREQUENCY MODULE, AND METHOD OF PRODUCING SAME

Attorney Docket No. 075834.0027D

Robert J. Depke, Holland & Knight LLC • (312) 289-3800

2/13

Prior Art




FIG.2




FIG.3

Annotated Sheet

HIGH-FREQUENCY MODULE, AND METHOD OF PRODUCING SAME

Attorney Docket No.: 075834.00270
Inventor: Oskarola Antti Iiro

Robert J. Depths, Holland & Knight LLC (312) 284-3800

3/13


Prior Art

FIG. 4

PAGE 16/23 * RCVD AT 9/30/2005 4:28:27 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/25 * DNI:2738300 * CSID:312704 8137 * DURATION (mm:ss):04:38

Annotated Sheet




FIG.5

HIGH-FREQUENCY MODULE, AND METHOD OF PRODUCING SAME

Inventor: Okiura, AKIHIKO
Attorney Docket No.: 075834.010270Robert J. Depke, Holland & Knight LLC - (312) 233-3600
4/13Prior Art




FIG. 6

HIGH-FREQUENCY MODULE, AND METHOD OF PRODUCING SAME

Inventor: Oubora AKIHICO

Attorney Docket No. 07364-00270

Robert J. Depke, Holland & Knight LLP - (312) 283-3800

5/13

Prior Art

PAGE 18/23 * RCV'D AT 9/30/2005 4:28:27 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/25 * DNI:2738300 * CSID:312704 8137 * DURATION (mm:ss):04:38